

# SATLike-c: Solver Description

Zhendong Lei<sup>1,2</sup>, Shaowei Cai<sup>1,2</sup>, Fei Geng<sup>3</sup>, Dongxu Wang<sup>3</sup>, Yongrong Peng<sup>3</sup>, Dongdong Wan<sup>3</sup>, Yiping Deng<sup>3</sup> and Pinyan Lu<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Computer Science, Institute of Software, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Computer Science and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>TCS Lab, Huawei Technologies, Shanghai, China

<sup>4</sup>Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai, China

{leizd, caisw}@ios.ac.cn {gengfei12, dongxu.wang, pengyongrong, wandongdong1, yiping.deng, lupinyan}@huawei.com

**Abstract**—This document describes the solver SATLike-c, submitted to the four incomplete tracks of MaxSAT Evaluation 2021.

## I. INTRODUCTION

SATLike-c participates in incomplete track. SATLike-c has two main engines, one is local search solver SATLike [1] and the other is SAT-based solver TT-Open-WBO-inc [2].

### A. Local Search Algorithm: SATLike

SATLike adopts a dynamic local search framework for SAT and exploits the distinction of hard and soft clauses by a carefully designed clauses weighting scheme. The clauses weighting scheme works on both hard and soft clauses while it puts more increments to hard clauses each time and also sets a limit on the maximum weight that each soft clause can get. As for the variable selection heuristic, it works like a normal local search for SAT which pick a variables with the highest score in each step. The algorithm is thus called SATLike.

The weighting scheme used in SATLike is named **Weighting-PMS**, and works as follows. For each hard clause, we associate an integer number as its weight which is initialized to 1; for each soft clause, we use the original weight (which is 1 for PMS, and is the original weight from the input file for WPMS) as its initial weight. Whenever a “stuck” situation is observed, that is, we cannot decrease the cost by flipping any variable, then clause weights are updated as follows.

- with probability  $1 - sp$ : for each falsified hard clause  $c$ ,  $w(c) := w(c) + h\_inc$ ; for each falsified soft clause  $c$ ,  $w(c) := w(c) + 1$  if  $w(c) < \zeta$ , where  $\zeta$  limits the maximum value that a soft clause weight can get.
- with probability  $sp$  (smoothing probability): for each satisfied hard clause  $c$  s.t.  $w(c) > 1$ ,  $w(c) := w(c) - h\_inc$ ; for each satisfied soft clause  $c$  s.t.  $w(c) > 1$ ,  $w(c) := w(c) - 1$ .

SATLike uses scoring function (the score of variables) to guide the search. In SATLike, the score of variable  $x$ , denoted by  $score(x)$ , is the increase of total weight of satisfied clauses (either hard clauses or soft clauses) caused by flipping  $x$ .

The main component of SATLike is a loop (lines 3-15), which is executed to iteratively modify the current solution  $\alpha$  until a given time limit is reached. During the search, whenever

## Algorithm 1: SATLike

**Input:** PMS instance  $F$ ,  $cutoff$

**Output:** A feasible assignment  $\alpha$  of  $F$  and its cost, or “no feasible assignment found”

```

1 begin
2    $\alpha :=$  an initial complete assignment;  $\alpha^* := \emptyset$ ;
3   while elapsed time < cutoff do
4     if  $\nexists$  falsified hard clauses &  $cost(\alpha) < cost^*$  then
5        $\alpha^* := \alpha$ ;  $cost^* := cost(\alpha)$ ;
6       if  $D := \{x | score(x) > 0\} \neq \emptyset$  then
7          $v :=$  a variable in  $D$  picked by BMS strategy;
8       else
9         update weights of clauses by Weighting-PMS;
10        if  $\exists$  falsified hard clauses then
11           $c :=$  a random falsified hard clause
12        else  $c :=$  a random falsified soft clause;
13         $v :=$  the variable with highest score in  $c$ ;
14       $\alpha := \alpha$  with  $v$  flipped;
15   if  $\alpha^*$  is feasible then return ( $cost^*$ ,  $\alpha^*$ );
16   else return “no feasible assignment found”;

```

a better feasible solution is found, the best feasible solution is updated accordingly (line 4).

In each step, if there exists variables with score bigger than 0, SATLike picks a variable with the greatest score and flips it. If there is no such variable, then SATLike updates clause weights according to the Weighting-PMS, and picks a variable from a falsified clause.

### B. Hybrid Solver: SATLike-c

We combine SATLike with the state of the art SAT-based solvers TT-Open-WBO-inc [2], which leading to the hybrid solver SATLike-c.

The structure of SATLike-c is shown as algorithm 2. First, a SAT solver is executed to find a feasible solution (only works on hard clauses). Then SATLike is executed with this feasible solution as its initial solution. SATLike is executed until there is no improvement over  $k$  steps ( $k$  is set to  $10^7$  in our experiment). In most cases of our solver, SATLike can return a high-quality solution in this period, which is even close to the optimal one. But as the execution time increases, it is difficult for SATLike to get a further improved solution. So, the obtained high-quality solution is passed to the SAT-based

---

**Algorithm 2:** SATLike-c

---

**Input:** PMS instance  $F$ , *cutoff*

**Output:** A feasible assignment  $\alpha$  of  $F$  and its cost, or “no feasible assignment found”

```
1 begin
2    $F' = \text{Hard}(F)$ ;
3    $\alpha := \text{SATSOLVER}(F')$ ;
4    $\alpha := \text{SATLIKE}(\alpha, F)$ ;
5    $\alpha := \text{TTOpenWBOINC}(\alpha, F)$ ;
6   if  $\alpha^*$  is feasible then return ( $\text{cost}^*, \alpha^*$ );
7   else return “no feasible assignment found”;
```

---

solver as the initial model, and thus an initial upper bound is also provided. After that, TT-Open-WBO-inc is executed in the rest time.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Shaowei Cai, Zhendong Lei, “Old techniques in new ways: Clause weighting, unit propagation and hybridization for maximum satisfiability”. *Artif. Intell.* 287: 103354 (2020)
- [2] Alexander Nadel. “Anytime weighted maxsat with improved polarity selection and bit-vector optimization” In Clark W. Barrett and Jin Yang, editors, 2019 Formal Methods in Computer Aided Design, FMCAD 2019, San Jose, CA, USA, October 22-25, 2019, pages 193–202. IEEE, 2019