

# MaxHS in the 2021 MaxSat Evaluation

Fahiem Bacchus  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada  
Email: fbacchus@cs.toronto.edu

## I. MAXHS

MaxHS originated in the work of Davies [1] who developed the first MaxSat solver based on the Implicit Hitting Set approach (IHS). The core components of MaxHS are described in [1]–[4]. The PhD thesis of Saikko [5] also provides an excellent overview of the IHS approach along with a number of additional insights. In addition to various algorithmic and code improvements over the years, MaxHS also employs the techniques of reduced cost fixing [6] and abstract cores [7]. Both of these techniques go beyond the basic IHS approach.

## II. 2021

As with the 2020 MaxHS entry to the 2021 entry detects and utilizes abstract cores when these are useful. In 2021 some more tuning of when to trigger the construction of abstract cores was done. These changes added to the solver’s robustness but did not do much to enhance its performance. It was noticed however, that extracting abstract cores is more difficult for the SAT solver than extracting ordinary cores. It was also noted that the size of the new MaxSat instances submitted to the competition was growing, again increasing the burden on the SAT solver. In the 2020 version of MaxHS utilized MiniSat v2.2 as its SAT solver. This version had been modified in minor ways to improve its effectiveness on the problems MaxHS required it to solve. But it was clear that it was time to move on to a more effective SAT solver.

Interesting, in previous years we had tested replacing MiniSat with Glucose in MaxHS, but MaxHS with Glucose had always performed slightly worse. So a decision was made to move to the non-MiniSat based solver Cadical [8]. This change yielded better performance for MaxHS (albeit on somewhat limited testing).

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Davies, “Solving maxsat by decoupling optimization and satisfaction,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Toronto, 2013.
- [2] J. Davies and F. Bacchus, “Solving MAXSAT by Solving a Sequence of Simpler SAT Instances,” in *Proc. CP*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 6876. Springer, 2011, pp. 225–239.
- [3] —, “Exploiting the power of mip solvers in maxsat,” in *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2013 - 16th International Conference, Helsinki, Finland, July 8-12, 2013. Proceedings*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, M. Järvisalo and A. V. Gelder, Eds., vol. 7962. Springer, 2013, pp. 166–181. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39071-5\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39071-5_13)
- [4] —, “Postponing optimization to speed up MAXSAT solving,” in *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming - 19th International Conference, CP 2013, Uppsala, Sweden, September 16-20, 2013. Proceedings*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, C. Schulte, Ed., vol. 8124. Springer, 2013, pp. 247–262.
- [5] P. Saikko, “Implicit hitting set algorithms for constraint optimization,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Helsinki, 2019, <https://helda.helsinki.fi/bitstream/handle/10138/306951/implicit.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>.
- [6] F. Bacchus, A. Hyttinen, M. Järvisalo, and P. Saikko, “Reduced cost fixing in maxsat,” in *Proc. CP*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 10416. Springer, 2017, pp. 641–651. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66158-2\\_41](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66158-2_41)
- [7] J. Berg, F. Bacchus, and A. Poole, “Abstract cores in implicit hitting set maxsat solving,” in *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2020 - 23rd International Conference, Alghero, Italy, July 3-10, 2020, Proceedings*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, L. Pulina and M. Seidl, Eds., vol. 12178. Springer, 2020, pp. 277–294. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51825-7\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51825-7_20)
- [8] A. Biere, K. Fazekas, M. Fleury, and M. Heisinger, “CaDiCaL, Kissat, Paracooba, Plingeling and Treengeling entering the SAT Competition 2020,” in *Proc. of SAT Competition 2020 – Solver and Benchmark Descriptions*, ser. Department of Computer Science Report Series B, T. Balyo, N. Froylyks, M. Heule, M. Iser, M. Järvisalo, and M. Suda, Eds., vol. B-2020-1. University of Helsinki, 2020, pp. 51–53.